

UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

B-154420-0.M.

July 7, 1964

Director, Defense Accounting and Auditing Division

Attached memorandum of May 13, 1964, with enclosures, from the Scattle Regional Office entitled "Review of cost of electricity purchased by Air Force at Paine Field, Washington (Coda 57242)," concerns the legality and enforceability of similar provisions in a Quit Claim Deed and lease purchase agreement obligating the Air Force to purchase its electricity from the grantor and lessor (Snohomish County, Washington), respectively, so long as the County sells electricity at rates no greater than otherwise available through the local Public Utility District. Also, the inquiry concerns the legality and enforceability of the Government's obligation under the lease-purchase agreement to receive and treat the County's savage without charge under the conditions therein specified.

Under the Quit Claim Deed executed on August 15, 1955, the County for a consideration of \$605,000 conveyed to the United States 339.45 acres, more or less, subject to certain exceptions and reservations including the following:

"e. The United States agrees to purchase all its electrical requirements from Grantor so long as a contract exists between the Snohomish County Public Utility District and Grantor concerning the purchase and resale of electricity on Paine Field, provided the Grantor will sell to the United States electricity at rates no greater than otherwise available through the Snchomish County Public Utility District, so long as a contract between the Snohomish County Public Utility District and the Snchomish County Airport exists. The United States Air Force shall not be prohibited from generating any or all of its own electrical requirements, provided the Air Force will purchase any additional electrical requirements over and above that generated from the Grantor, Grantor will guarantee to the United States that, in the event a power connection to the Paine Field system would be more expensive by reason of additional length of line than a connection to Public Utility District system, Grantor will meet

such additional empanse. It is understood that such new connection will be limited to certain pre-established primary service points, not to exceed one point on the South and West and North sides of Paine Field, said point to be established at the convenience of the United States and with the concurrence of the Grantor."

Under the lease-purchase agreement No. DA-45-108-eng-3370, executed August 15, 1955, the County leased to the United States two tracts of land (41-1, 41-2), containing 198.89 and 1.42 acres, more or less, respectively, at an annual rental of \$42,000. By supplemental agreement No. 1 dated May 6, 1957, the original agreement was amended to increase the leased area and to permit leason 120 days to vacate following notice of the Government's intention to emercise the purchase option. The lease provides for an original torm beginning August 16, 1955, through June 30, 1956, "provided that unless and until the Government shall give notice of termination in accordance with provision 6 hereof, this lease shall remain in force thereafter from year to year without further notice; provided further that adequate appropriations are available from year to year for the payment of rentals; and provided further that this lease shall in no event extend beyong August 16, 1965."

Faragraph 7 obligates the Government, subject to the conditions therein stated, to maintain and operate, so long as the Air Force has a requirement, all sewage facilities lying within its leased and purchased areas and to receive and treat all sewage except industrial waste, without charge to the County, arising from the operation of 16 of the leaser's designated buildings.

Paragraph 9 contains provisions similar to those in the Quit Claim Beed quoted above obligating the Government to purchase all of its electrical requirements from the losser unless the "Air Force" should generate its cun electricity. Paragraph 12 under the heading "OPTICN PROVISIONS" contains an agreement on the part of the lesser to convey the lessed land to the United States subject to the conditions therein stated. In the event of such conveyance, paragraph 13a under the same heading would reserve to the lesser the right to use emisting sewage disposal facilities jointly with the Government and the Government would be obligated to maintain and operate such facilities so long as

the Government has a requirement therefor and to receive and treat, without charge, all of the County's secure eviding from the operation of 16 of its designated buildings. Also, in the event of such conveyance under the provisions of paragraph 14 which are identical to the previsions of paragraph 9, the Covernment would be collected to purchase all of its electrical requirements from the lessor unless the "Air Force" should generate its one electricity.

Paragraph 15 acts the purchase price of the property at \$420,000. Purther, it provides that all matels gaid under the term of the lease shall be applied against the stipulated purchase price can that the lease will convey the leased lands to the Government when rentals in the total amount of \$420,000 shall have been poid.

It is plated in the nanomenum of May 13, 15th, that the electricity purchased by the County for resche to sold to the Air Force at rates which are expressionally 30 percent excetor than rates paid by the County even through the County incurs no chlittenal expense in calivering the closericity to the Air Force; that this agricup assumes to about \$20,000 annually; and that the Bonneville Force Administration has advised that it would be in a position to deliver power directly to the Air Force Base at Faine Field at a substantial reduction in cost.

As to the logality of the lease-purchase apprecent and the current rental payments account thereunder attention is invited to attached copy of acquisition report (Project No. 330), submitted to the Countition on Armed Services of the Counts and House of Representatives in compliance with the requirements of Public Lev 155, Sand Congress, 65 Stat. 336, 365, 10 U.S.C. 2662, therein the cut of August 10, 1890, 26 Stat. 316, as emended 50 U.S.C. 171 (now 10 U.S.C. 2663) is cited as cutbority for such acquisition. In the circumstances, the inhibition in the rider contained in the Independent Offices Appropriation Act for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1350, Public Law 65-844; 72 Stat. 1867, Title I, against the use of expropriated funds for the payment for sites, etc., by lease-purchase contracts may not be regarded as applicable to the involved lease-quechase agreement. B-135526, June 1, 1859. Also, Cf. 38 Comp. Cen. 703, 706.

With respect to the submatic renewal option is the leace-gureless egressent your attention is directed to attached copy of our decision of April 22, 1943, 8-33785, to the Sewetery of Nor concerning the

proposed execution of leases for indefinite terms, terminable by the Government on 30 days written notice and containing automatic renewal clauses not to extend to occupancy beyond six months after the unlimited National Emergency as declared by the President in Proclemation 2587, dated May 27, 1951. After noting that the execution of such leases is not entirely free from doubt in view of the provisions of sections 3679 and 3732, Revised Statutes (31 U.S.C. 665 and 41 U.S.C.11), the Secretary was advised that if he should determine that the execution of such leases was necessary incident to the prosecution of the war, our Office would not question otherwise proper payments thereunder, subject however, to the understanding that prior to the beginning of each fiscal year a determination would be made as to whether other suitable space could be leased at a lower rental.

The national engrancy as declared by the President in Proclamtion 2487, dated May 27, 1941, was terminated on April 28, 1958, by Proclamation 2974, 17 F.R. 3813, 50 U.S.C.A., Appendix, note preceding section 1; Werner v. United States, 233 F. 2d 52. Accordingly, the decision of April 22, 1943, way not be regarded as authorizing the execution of leages with automatic renewal options after April 28, 1958, and in the absence of empress statutory authority therefor such options are not authorized under normal circumstances since they fall "squarely within the inhibition contained in the statutes sections 3732 and 3679, Revised Statutes7." 28 Comp. Gen. 553: B-90141-0.M., December 14, 1949. In the present instance, however, since under the terms of paragraph 15 of the lease the annual rental payments of \$42,000 are to be applied against the purchase price of \$420,000 and since it is understood that the Air Force intends to exercise the purchase option and has reported the lease-purchase agreement to the Committees on Arted Services as required by 10 U.S.C. 2662, the legality of the lease should not be questioned with respect to the automatic renewal option, particularly in view of the proximity of the termination date.

As to the provisions in the two instruments requiring the Government to purchase all of its electrical requirements from the grentor and lessor, there is a general limitation of ten years on the duration of public utility contracts. 40 U.S.C. 481(a)(3). Ry delegation of authority of October 11, 1954 (filed October 13, 1954),

В-154420-0.И.

19 F.R. p. 6665, the Administrator of General Services, acting under the cited statutory authority, delegated to the Secretary of Defense authority to enter into public utility service contracts (power, gas, water and communications) for periods not exceeding ten years.

Unile the leave stipulates that the term shall not cutend beyond August 16, 1965, ten years from the beginning of the term, as indicated above the purchase ontion if exercised by the Covernment will subject the Covernment to a continuing unlimited obligation, except as therein provided, to purchase all of its electrical requirements from the County. Therefore, the provision in paragraph 14 of the lease-purchase agreement obligating the Government to purchase all of its electrical requirements from the County for an indefinite town must be regarded as in contravention of 40 U.S.C. 481(a)(3) and the delegation of suther the of October 11, 1954. Also, the Government's continuing indefinite obligation under perograph 13a to receive and treat without charge, all of the County's commune, except industrial waste emagating from the County's designated buildings must be regarded as in contravention of 41 U.S.C. 11, 31 1d. 665(a); 1d. 712a. 42 Comp. Gen. 272. For the reasons stated in the next-to-lest paragraph of the cited decision, however, and because in this particular instance the Government's undertaking furnished part of the consideration for the purchase option in favor of the Government's option to murchase and the possibility that any affirmative audit action might operate to mullify such purchase option, we suggest that audit action in the matter be confined to the report referred to in the last paragraph of the pencraphum of May 13. 1954.

R.F.KELLER

General Counsel